

UNITED STATEDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/877,684 06/17/97 VAUGHAN 968035/2 **EXAMINER** IM62/0523 PARTUNERCZYK, PAPER NUMBER EXXON CHEMICAL COMPANY LAW TECHNOLOGY 23 P 0 BOX 2149 BAYTOWN TX 77522 DATE MAILED:

II E MAILED.

05/23/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Vaughan et al.

Advisory Action Examiner

J. Pasterczyk

09/877,684

Art Unit 1755



	The Mi	AILING DATE of this o					
Therefo rejectionallowan	re, furthe n under 3 ce; (2) a	May 10, 2001 r action by the applic 7 CFR 1.113 may or timely filed Notice of	cant is required to a ally be either: (1) a Appeal (with appe 14.	avoid the aband timely filed ame eal fee); or (3) a	endment which pl a timely filed Requ	oplication. A aces the app	proper reply to a final lication in condition for
			THE PERIOD FOI				
a) 🕽		iod for reply expires					
ь) [expires is later. rejection	n.	nis Advisory Action, O will the statutory perion	R continues to ru od for the reply ex	n from the mailing dispire later than SIX N	ATE OF THE TIMAL MONTHS from 1	the mailing date of the final
exte appr	nsion fee ha opriate exte		e for purposes of dete { 1.17(a) is calculated	from: (1) the exp	iration date of the steply received by the	nortened statut Office later the	ory period for reply originally an three months after the
1. 🗆	A Notice	of Appeal was filed of .192(a), or any exte	on nsion thereof (37 C	Appellan CFR 1.191(d)),	t's Brief must be to avoid dismissal	filed within the of the appea	ne period set forth in all.
2. 🗆		osed amendment(s) v					and Appeal Brief with
3. 🛛	The propo	osed amendment(s) v					
(a) [they ra	ise new issues that	would require furth	er consideratio	n and/or search.	(See NOTE b	elow);
(b) [they ra	ise the issue of new	matter. (See NOT	E below);			
(c) D		re not deemed to pla for appeal; and/or	ce the application i	in better form f	or appeal by mate	erially reducin	g or simplifying the
(d) [they pr	resent additional clai	ns without cancell	ing a correspor	ding number of fi	nally rejected	I claims.
١	IOTE: T	he argument ag <u>ainst</u>	Sommazzi goes to	the method of	preparation rathe	er than the pr	oduct as claimed, and
	<u>t/</u>	ne argument against	Brookhart goes to	the olefin polyn	<u>nerization process</u>	rather than	the claimed catalyst.
4. 🗆	Applicant	s's reply has overcon	ne the following rej	ection(s):			
5. 🗆	Newly preseparate,	oposed or amended timely filed amendm	claim(s) nent cancelling the	non-allowable		would be allo	owable if submitted in a
6. 🖾	The a) \square affidavit, b) \square exhibit, or c) \boxtimes request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons of record						
7. 🗆		avit or exhibit will No caminer in the final re		ecause it is no	t directed SOLELY	to issues w	hich were newly raised
8. 🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claim(s) is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):						
	Claim(s) allowed: none						
	Claim(s) objected to: none						
	Claim(s)	rejected: 1-5, 13-21,	30, and 32				
9. 🗆							pproved by the Examiner.
10.🗆 I	Note the a	attached Information	Disclosure Statem	ent(s) (PTO-14	49) Paper No(s)		1/
11.\\ gp		proposed amendmen were inserted betw			iections if in addit		Mark L. Bell Pervisory Patent Examina

Technology Center 1700